

**AGENDA: MLAIC DELEGATES MEETING**  
**24th MLAIC WORLD MUZZLE LOADING CHAMPIONSHIPS**  
**PFORZHEIM: 12<sup>TH</sup> AUGUST 2012**

---

**1: APPOINTMENT OF MINUTES SECRETARY**

Mrs Nancy Crouse, proposed by the SG

**2: REGISTRATION AND ACCREDITATION OF DELEGATES**

Welcome new Delegates

**3: APOLOGIES**

**5: ADOPTION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES**

Please bring a copy with you; they are downloadable from the MLAIC web site. This will save paper by the S.G. not having to print copies that may or may not be required.

**6: BUSINESS ARISING**

**7: CORRESPONDENCE INWARDS / OUTWARDS**

Letter from Artax re German reproduction matchlock pistol

Letter from Unione Italiana Tiro a Segno re CNDA President

**8: BUSINESS ARISING FROM CORRESPONDENCE**

**9: FINANCIAL REPORT**

**10: APPOINTMENT OF TELLERS**

Antonio Ferrerio, proposed by the SG

Gerda Lejeune, proposed by the SG

**11: AGENDA ITEMS**

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| a) To set MLAIC Membership Fees  | 10 mins |
| b) DSG's/Treasurer's expenses: Should they receive them and if so, under what circumstances  | 15 mins |
| c) Registering Name, Logo & Flag of the MLAIC?<br>Hungary, Italy, Argentina & Spain. See Annex B.  | 20 mins |
| d) Long Range Rules – Autonomy<br>Allow the LR Rules to be decided by LR Nations at LR World Championships and be adopted by this committee.   | 15 mins |
| e) To accept the new Constitution (Subject to amendments see 11: f)  | 10 mins |
| f) Proposals for amendment to the new Constitution:<br>See proposals. Annex A.   | 2 hours |
| g) To agree Program change:<br>2019 Zone Championships<br>2020 Zone Championships (was World)<br>2021 World Championships (was Zone)   | 5 mins  |
| h) To agree Venue for LR World Championships 2015<br>United States of America<br>Australia   | 10 mins |
| i) To agree venue for Zone Championships 2015<br>European Zone, Barcelos, Portugal   | 10 mins |
| j) To set the fees for target inspection and protest at all MLAIC events   | 10 mins |
| k) Discuss Festival of Muzzle Loading Shooting 2021<br>Proposal from Australia<br>Vote on venue will be taken in South Africa 2014   | 10 mins |
| l) Missing trophies – last know recipient:<br><b>Miquelet Replica.</b> 2006 France. Noel Risch. France<br><b>Whitworth Original.</b> 2004 USA. Brigitte Macchi. France<br><b>Tanegashima Replica.</b> 2000. Australia, James Eckard. USA | 15 mins |

**12: ANY OTHER BUSINESS** Not on the Agenda:

**13: ELECTION OF SECRETARY GENERAL**

Mr David Brigden is willing to stand for another term

**14: ELECTION DEPUTY SECRETARY GENERAL**

Mrs Nancy Crouse is willing to stand for another term

**15: ELECTION OF TREASURER**

Mr Nick Harvey, proposed by the SG

**16: ELECTION OF HONORARY MEMBERS**

Donald Malson	USA
Erich Illing	Germany
Gary Crawford	USA
Jean Bourdeaux	France
Jose Louis Peres Pastor	Spain

**17: ELECTION OF 6 PERSON MLAIC COMMISSION**

Antonio Ferrerio  
Balazs Nemeth  
Gerda Lejeune  
Kim Atkinson  
Ramón Sellés  
Gary Crawford

**18: CLOSING SPEECHES**

DEPUTY SECRETARY  
SECRETARY GENERAL

**Estimated time for meeting 5 hours**

## Annex A

### Proposed by the Secretary General

Following Chapter 12 (*Transitional Provisions*). Remove Appendix B and C from the main document. *This information can change without the need for a vote by this meeting and only needs to be available on the MLAIC web site.*

Insert "Muzzle Loading" after historical in Article 4 Objectives a.

To promote an interest in historical **muzzle loading** firearms by competing with them in their unaltered

### Proposed by Spain:

On page 6, CONTENTS, add the marked in yellow:

1.12 Range, Line, **Target** and Verification Officers

On page 13, add the marked in yellow:

1.12 Range, Line, **Target** and Verification Officers

Add two new items:

Responsibilities and duties:

c. A Chief **Target Officer**, reporting directly to the Match Director, shall be responsible of the **Target Office** and of the **Target Officers**, who will collect targets from the range and transfer them to the scorers ensuring their safe custody and arrange for the first six in each event be passed to the Arbitration Committee for verification.

Page 31,

5.8. v) The Range officer will not allow a shooter to deliberately cause delay to the competition which would affect the other shooters; if he detects these delays will warn the competitor. If the shooter repeats the delay the referee shall disqualify the shooter from the competition.

### Proposed by Italy:

1 -The MLAIC recognise **only one representative** per Nation. The updated Official List of the recognised Associations is contained on the MLAIC web site.

2 -In order to be recognized by the MLAIC the Association should be an Association of shooting clubs at national level, and must have in its Constitution **the Statutory provision to practise all the MLAIC Specialities.**

3 -The recognized Association **shall fully recognize the MLAIC Constitution and its related aims**, and shall have in its Constitution a **formal recognition of the MLAIC competence and authority.**

4 -Any changes in the legal figure of the Associations already recognized, have to be notified to the MLAIC exclusively by the Delegate of the Recognised Association, and have to be anyway approved by the Delegates Meeting.

**Please note; items 2 and 3 will require that all nations may need to change their constitution; this will need careful consideration. What are the consequences if the proposals are accepted and your constitution is not changed?**

**S.G**

**Additionally, will this not require that all nations supply to the SG an updated copy of their Constitution in order to ensure that they are in compliance with the rule?**

**D.S.G.**

Proposed by Great Britain

Part B Rules

**4.7. Firearms (including Clay Target and Long Range events) – Page 20**

4.7 A i **may be used in single shot events providing that the cylinder is loaded one shot at a time.**

**MLAGB:** There The MLAGB can see no logic to this proposal.

**Proposal:** Delete clause 4.7 A. i.

**4.7. Firearms (including Clay Target and Long Range events) – Page 20**

The definitions here apparently *include* Long Range events yet the rules here and published in 'Chapter 7 Long Range World Championships' do not reconcile.

**Proposal:** Delete "and Long Range events" from the section 4.7 title.

Or

Review sections and reconcile rules to avoid ambiguity and/or challenges.

**4.7. Firearms (including Clay Target and Long Range events)**

*E. Reproductions*

*And*

**7.6 Firearms and Ammunition**

*a. Firearms*

There are different rules covering rifling patterns between those applied to Short Range events and those applied to Long Range events.

**4.7. Firearms (including Clay Target and Long Range events)**

*E. Reproductions*

**7.7 Accessories**

*d. Sights*

There are different rules covering permitted sights between those applied to Short Range events and those applied to Long Range events.

Competitors need to understand that clear distinctions between rifles and sights permitted under 'Long Range Rules' and those permitted under 'Short Range Rules' have now been created.

**Proposal:** Reconcile the rules regarding rifling patterns and sights such that there is no distinction between Short Range and Long Range events. nb. The MLAIC needs to give serious consideration as to how they will verify rifling patterns and twist rates, especially when considering gain twist rifling.

Or

Add a bold note to "Chapter 7 Long Range World Championships, 7.1 Application of the Rules" to the effect that: ***Rifles and Accessories conforming to Long Range Shooting Rules may not conform to Short Range Shooting Rules. It is the competitor's responsibility to comply with rules applicable to the events he is to compete in.***

**4.8 Ammunition (including Clay Target and Long Range events) – Page 24**

**7.7 Firearms and Ammunition – Page 39**

**MLAGB:** There are two sets of rules covering ammunition which do not reconcile.

**Proposal:** Delete “and Long Range events” from the section 4.8 title.  
Or  
Review sections and reconcile rules to avoid ambiguity and/or challenges.

---

**7.4 Shooting Rules**

*c. Fouling Shots*

i) Unlimited fouling shots/warming shots may be fired in to the stop butt, but only during the permitted detail time. These shots shall be fired from the prone/supine position.

**MLAGB:** This is ambiguous and could result in competitors firing ‘fouling shots’ into the stop butt after they have commenced their scoring shots to assess changes in wind strength.

**Proposal:** Add a note that: *Fouling shots are not permitted after the first convertible sighter has been scored. In the event of delays to the shooting additional fouling shots may be permitted at the discretion of the range officer.*

---

**7.4 Shooting Rules**

*g. Team Shooting*

**MLAGB:** Team entries are now required to be with the Match Director ‘one day’ prior to commencement. Based on previous match programmes this will not always permit all distances to have been fired in individual events prior to Team selection. Captains may have to make selections without a full picture of how their shooters are performing.

**Proposal:** Change ‘one day’ to ‘one hour’.

---

**7.9 Targets**

**MLAGB:** The tables showing target dimensions are incomplete. For both long range targets (imperial and metric) the target height dimensions are missing.

**Proposal:** Include correct target dimensions.

**I don't believe that we should have different standards for firearms and ammunition across the various disciplines. I will take a look at these proposals and see how they can be reconciled. S.G.**

Proposed by Canada

*2.1. Competitions and records*

2.1 e. World Records can only be established at the World Championships. Zone Records may be achieved by resident of a Zone at either a Zone or World Championships.

**Proposal:** 2.1 e. change to read as follows:

World Records can only be established at the World Championships. Zone Records can only be established by resident of a Zone at the Zone Championships.

If this proposal is accepted then changes should be effective from 2010

Proposed by France

*Purpose of this document is summing up what is to be discussed at Pforzheim world championship  
§1 as additions proposals  
§2 as position and suggestions*

## **1 Proposals for addition to MLAIC rules**

### **1.1 clothing for offhand shooting**

on referee's request, any shooter wearing all his shooting equipment, shall be able to crouch down and come back to shooting position, hence proving no additional support is provided by his garments.

### **1.2 slings**

Add in the rules pictures or drawing to make understanding doubtless

## **2 Position on proposed Constitution:**

### **2.1 Page 14**

*2.2 c. Organisation and schedule*

*The Delegate or Team Captain of participating countries shall submit completed registration forms on or before the 4<sup>st</sup> of May*

**To be replaced by: 2 months before opening of the relevant competition,**  
*indicating*

- i) the names of all the members in their team;*
- ii) the name of the Team Captain;*
- iii) the proposed Customs point of entry;*
- iv) a full list of firearms details make, type, calibre and, where possible, serial numbers or identifying marks; details of transportation arrangements; requirements for hotel reservations  
or camping sites.*

**Here should be added under which conditions of date the names can be changed in a team.  
Suggestion: until first shot of the event ?**

### **2.2 Page 15**

*2.3 a. Participation and registration*

*Each competitor shall be either a national of, have been born in or permanently resides in, the country he is representing.*

**Delete, as it is impossible to prove easily where someone actually lives.**

**Note: It is for each country to ensure their shooters qualify by nationality, they should know the competitors address and should have details of their Passport. I can see no reason why this rule should not stand.  
S.G.**

### **2.3 Page 18**

*4.4 Competition details*

#### **a. General**

**~~i) Prone, kneeling and standing positions shall be as defined by ISSF Rules. Delete~~**  
**positions are to be defined somehow. Paragraph to be kept**

**Note: We are not an ISSF organisation; including references to that organisation would require us to have an ISSF Judge present or at least an ISSF Rule Book.  
I will look at this and try to find a suitable definition for each position.  
S.G.**

## 2.4 Page 20

**4.7 A. i. Revolvers may be used in single shot events providing that the cylinder is loaded one shot at a time.**

Delete

plus add :

**4.7 A. j. A reproduction is a copy of a weapon really existing before 1890, and no assembly of components used to build a weapon.**

## 2.5 Page 21

**4.7 D. iv) Set triggers, butt pads, cheek pads, wiping out between shots, and long loading funnels are prohibited.**

Instead of *long loading funnel*, say here “...and funnel longer than 100mm ...”

## 2.6 Page 21

### 4.7 D. b) Free Rifles

**A free rifle is any original muzzle loading rifle not qualifying as a Military rifle in a) above, with contemporary sights (excluding telescopic or optical) for use in competitions Nos. 4, 8, 15, 17, 20, 24, and are subject to the following -**

- i) Aperture sights (including modern made reproduction sights) are permitted provided their design is consistent with the period of manufacture (1890) and appropriate to the type of firearm. This applies to both rear and front-sights.

**Military rifles of any bore diameter may be used in the above competitions.**

**Say here : military rifle not eligible for nr 3 and 37 events**

**Note: When a military rifle is used in a Free Rifle event there are no restrictions; therefore a rifle that can be used in 3 and 37 may also be used in any Free Rifle event.  
S.G.**

## 2.7 Page 21

### Flintlock Rifles

*A flint rifle is any original muzzle loading rifle fitted with a flintlock ignition system, with contemporary sights for use in competitions Nos. 2, 26, 36, and 42.*

- i) *Open or aperture sights (including modern made reproduction sights) are permitted providing their design is consistent with the period of manufacture (up to 1850) and appropriate to the firearm.*

*Ring type foresights are not permitted on English type flintlock rifles.*

**This makes difficult a job for referees on the range: keep blade or post front sight; it is part of identity for this period**

## 2.8 Page 23

### 4.7 E. d) Matchlock Muskets

*A matchlock musket is any copy of an original matchlock musket for use in competitions Nos. 14, 16, 19 and 44.*

- i) *Open or aperture sights are permitted providing their design is consistent with the period of manufacture (up to 1750)*

**add here a “refer to appendix to rules” showing with pictures or drawings which sight profiles are permitted or not.**

**Note: Since many of the reproduction matchlock muskets in use are not true copies of originals (this has been the case since I started shooting MLAIC events in 1984) it is virtually impossible to determine which sights should be on which musket.  
S.G.**

## 2.9 Page 25

### 4.9 Accessories

#### a) Slings

- i) Slings shall be original or a reproduction of a contemporary type. Modern adjustable target type slings, including single-point slings, are forbidden.
  - aa) The sling may not be fixed to the rifle so that it gives support to the shooter similar to that given by a single point sling. Both ends of the sling may not be closer than 250mm and may not be joined together at the fixing points.
  - bb) The following shall be permitted provided that the separation between the two ends are not less than 250mm apart:
    - i) barrel to trigger guard;
    - ii) fore end of stock to trigger guard;
    - iii) Barrel to rear of stock,
    - iv) fore end of stock to rear of stock.

*In the case of underhammer rifles where there is no wooden fore end, both ends of the sling may be attached to the barrel but may not be closer to each other than 250mm.*

**See remarks in heading of document** (Add in the rules pictures or drawing to make understanding doubtless)

## 2.10 Page 25

**Add here:**

**4.9 a) vi) No hook nor button on the jacket shall be used to maintain sling in position.**

## 2.11 Page 36

*7.1. b. In the event of an omission or a discrepancy the rules of the host country shall apply.*

**No, same rule shall apply for each championship.**

**In case of omission, refer to NRA-UK rules.**

## 2.12 Page 37

*7.4 a. i) For individual events and team events when shot concurrent with individual events, competitors may receive coaching up to the time when their first scoring shot hits the target; from then on no coaching or assistance from others, including other shooters, is permitted.*

**keep the spirit alive: other shooters may help, keeping noise at minimum level, including those from other countries**

**Note: At the last World Championships I witnessed shooter "A" being coached by shooter "B". Both shooters were from different countries but good friends. Shooter "B" was out of contention for a medal and coached shooter "A" throughout the relay. Shooter "A" won a medal.**

**Receiving this sort of coaching clearly gave shooter "A" an advantage which was not available to other shooters on the line. This cannot be fair!**

**The Rule should stand as written.**

**S.G.**

## 2.13 Page 39

*7.6 b. iv) aa) Maximum weight (including sights but excluding sling), 13lbs (5.90kg)*

**keep 15 lbs**

### Proposals by Hungary

Dear Delegate,

Please find the agenda proposals of the Hungarian Muzzleloaders' Association. We would like to ask you to support our effort in helping MLAIC to develop and to encourage more shooters to take up competitive muzzle loading shooting.

Please think about our proposals, and let us know your opinion.

### **1. Number of disciplines vs development of MLAIC**

The number of disciplines is growing year by year – a sign showing that muzzleloading shooting is progressing, evolving, developing continuously throughout the World. It is not an easy job for MLAIC to choose between the disciplines, as they are all part of our history. However stopping the development with



not accepting new disciplines cannot be a good way to manage the program of MLAIC World and Zone Championships.

On the other hand, the number of participants of the championships is decreasing or staying on the same level year by year, while many new country entered the MLAIC system. This means that we are losing shooters in many regions:

2000 WC: 276 - Australia  
2001 EC: 285 - Austria  
2002 WC: 402 - Italy  
2003 EC: 264 - Finland  
2004 WC: 282 - USA  
2005 EC: na.  
2006 WC: 417 - France  
2007 EC: 349 - Italy  
2008 WC: 205 - Australia  
2009 EC: 291 - Spain  
2010 WC: 383 - Portugal  
2011: EC: na (under 300 for sure) - Finland  
(no data for the Pacific Zone champs)

The number of shooters on ECs is decreasing strongly from 2007. This is caused by two factors: 1st the price of competitions is growing year by year, while the financial problems of the shooters of all nations is increasing in the same time. 2nd the mix of disciplines on World and Zone Champs is maybe different from what the shooters prefer. The number of disciplines is limited due to the time problem of the award ceremonies, so the possibility to add new disciplines is not a chance. However there are some new and old disciplines that can encourage new shooters to start competitive shooting, or to help advertising our association. Therefore we suggest the following:

- The delegates must set the maximum number of disciplines for all World and Zone Championship. This number must not increase.
- Divide the disciplines into 2 groups: A: obligatory disciplines B: optional disciplines
- All nations holding an MLAIC World or Zone Champs must hold all the obligatory disciplines while can choose from the optional disciplines. The relation between the two groups can be set by the commission or delegates' meeting. All our current disciplines with a minimum of 15 shooters at the last 2 previous World Champs start as obligatory disciplines. All other become optional.
- All new disciplines accepted by MLAIC start as an optional discipline. If it is chosen for at least 2 years (2 World Champs) in a row by the organizing countries, and the number of participant is always above 15 individual shooters, it becomes an obligatory discipline automatically after 2 years.
- If an obligatory discipline failed to have at least 15 individual shooters for 2 years in a row, it becomes an optional discipline automatically.
- The same procedure can be used for team events, but the minimum number of competing team is 4. With this system, the new disciplines receive a chance to prove their value, while we do not increase the time frame for the award ceremonies, and we don't lose any of the old disciplines.

We strongly believe that we need new disciplines to encourage new shooters. This system will create a wide range of optional disciplines – making our system more colourful than before, while it can revive some old optional disciplines, like the historical shooting events.

**Note: I suggest that the venue for the listed championships has more to do with attendances than anything else. However, I agree that this subject should be looked at in greater detail than time may permit at our meeting in Pforzheim.**

**One thing you should consider; if you accept the proposal highlighted in red it would very likely mean that events such as Original Tanegashima, Hizadai and Tanzutsu could become “optional disciplines”. Since our good friends from Japan generally only compete in these events, they could be excluded from some championships.**

**I do not agree that time constraints should be a barrier to additional events. We should examine ways to streamline championships in order to increase the time available for competition. In 2011 the prize giving on Saturday worked really well. We could also consider extending the number of days for the championships.**

**This whole subject needs careful debate which can be achieved between now and South Africa in 2014.  
S.G.**

## **2. New disciplines (this point is subject of accepting the 1st section of this document)**

Hungary started two new disciplines 3 years ago to encourage shooters with limited financial possibilities to compete in MLAIC events. These two events became a success quickly, one of them is already part of the Central European EuroLeague competitions.

### **Trapper** - Individual O and R

- A) Firearm: Civilian caplock or flintlock rifles
- B) Sights: Open sights, no aperture sight is allowed
- C) Target: MLAIC C50 meters pistol target
- D) Position: Standing
- E) Distance: 50 meters
- F) Bullet: Patched round ball only
- G) Cleaning: Not permitted
- H) Funnel: Short or long tube
- I) Clothing: No modern shooting jacket, shooting trouser, shooting gloves, shooting boots are allowed. Shooter must wear civilian shoes, trousers and canvas shirt.

This discipline does not need a fancy rifle, sights, expensive shooting equipment. On the contrary it can be won with the cheapest caplock rifles of the market. This discipline also helps to get back to MLAIC's oldest traditions.

### **Berdan** - Individual O and R

- A) Firearm: Civilian or military caplock breechloading rifles
- B) Sights: Contemporary sights
- C) Target: MLAIC C50 meters pistol target
- D) Position: Standing
- E) Distance: 50 meters
- F) Bullet: Conical bullets only
- G) Cleaning: Permitted
- H) Funnel: Short tube

This second discipline activates the shooters who already have breechloaders in their collection like the Sharps, Smith or Gallagher. Both disciplines are capable of attracting new shooters for our sport.

**Note: I am fairly certain that "Berdan" does not comply with our objectives. Acceptance would require a change to Part A, Article 4. Objectives a.  
S.G.**

## **3. Firearms inspection (this point is in close relation with Point No. 4.)**

We suggest to strengthen the inspection of replica firearms used on our competitions. We suggest to check the proof marks on the barrel and the breech piece on every gun. This is a minimum requirement stating that the firearm is safe to shoot. If the gun does not have official proof mark on the barrel it must not enter the shooting line on competition.

**Note: "Home-made" replicas (a number of competitors have made their own firearms) may not have any markings at all, or the "proofmark" most probably will be a stamp of the name of the competitor. If they are true copies of known originals, even if they don't have an official proofmark, shouldn't they still be permitted? D.S.G.**

## **4. List of approved replica rifles**

We suggest to create an approved firearms list for the replica events. This list could be used easily by the firearms inspectors to check if a gun is acceptable for a discipline or not. The system:

- The firearms manufacturer presents the replica to the Small Arms Committee with the proof of an original piece (pictures and description of the original by the owner (preferably a museum) of the original piece).
- The manufacturer lists the gun's basic data:
  - Type of original
  - Name of the maker of the original
  - Pictures of the original
  - Caliber
  - Barrel length
  - Total length

- Rifling (twist rate, number of grooves)
- Original bullet
- Short summary of the historical background and description of the function of the gun.
- The Small Arms Committee accepts or rejects the gun.
- If the gun is accepted, the SAC creates a fact sheet about the gun:
  - Type of original
  - Name of the maker of the original
  - Sights approved
  - Caliber(s approved)
  - Barrel length(s approved)
  - Total length(s approved)
  - Rifling (twist rate, number of grooves)
  - Drawing of official proof marks on barrel and breech
  - Allowed disciplines
  - Acceptable modifications by the user (center of weight, addition of sling, changing the sights, etc...)
- The firearms inspectors receive the fact sheets in book format to help their work
- Acceptance of individually manufactured guns: same procedure can be followed, only difference is that the owner of the gun receives an official proof from the SAC that the gun is usable on MLAIC events. The request and the proof holds the same data as with commercially available replicas.

This system can help in the disqualification of „fantasy guns”, and can also help the clarification of many questions regarding specific rifles (bullets, sights, etc...). The fact sheets and the list of approved arms can be published on MLAIC webpage to help shooters in preparation for the inspections.

**Note: This is a task that should be completed as soon as possible but it cannot be done by the SAC! The SAC is a widely dispersed group of historians and gunsmiths that have offered to assist the S.G. from time to time with matters relating to original and reproduction firearms. They all have busy lives and very rarely meet one another – if ever. They give this information when I ask them and without charge. However, if I could manage to obtain one of every reproduction ML firearm from every manufacturer and present them to the SAC it would take up the rest of the time they have left on this planet to prepare such a list.**

**One other thing to bear in mind is that many of the firearms that have passed inspection previously might not do so once this list is prepared. This should not however prevent us from preparing such a list.**

**My guess is that it will be easier to start from the other direction and identify firearms that clearly do not comply and list them as such; at least that way our shooters will have an idea as to what not to spend their money on. The same list can be provided to our firearms inspectors so that they know what to look for.  
S.G.**

Proposed by Switzerland

## **1. General**

The new Constitution and Rules were initially drafted in three parts, namely –

Part A **Constitution** - amendments will require a two-thirds majority at MLAIC Delegates Meetings;

Part B **Rules** – amendments will require a simple majority at MLAIC Delegates Meetings;

Part C **MLAIC Information** – to be updated administratively.

It is suggested that this structure be retained, notably since, in Switzerland, only the B-part would be translated into the local languages.

## **2. Constitution (Articles 1 to 19)**

No comments at this stage, but the right is reserved to make comments during the Delegates Meeting, if need be.

### 3. Rules (Chapters 1 to 11)

#### Chapter 1: MLAIC Structure and Organization

There would appear to be a conflict between Rule 1.9(l) "... shall keep the top six targets from each event for a period of one year ...." and Rule 4.5(f) "[C]ompetitors are entitled to collect their targets one day after final scores have been posted ...." Rule 4.6.j. provides for photographic records. It is suggested that the issue be clarified.

**Note: I suggest deleting Rule 1.9. I. I favour of Rule 4.6.j. and add at the end of Rule 4.6.j. "A copy of all photographs should be sent to the S.G. immediately following the championships"  
S.G.**

Rule 1.9 (*Arbitration Committee*): The Rule, *inter alia*, makes provision for a "protest" to the Secretary General within one hour after the "final decision" by the Arbitration Committee. *Would the Commission play any role where there is an objection to the decision by the SG?*

**Note: I think the wording should be changed so that protest on the Arbitration Committee going to those members of MLAIC Commission who are present, with the S.G. only exercising a casting vote if necessary.  
S.G.**

#### Chapter 2: Precision World and Zone Championships

Rule 2.4 (*Medals and Trophies*). Sub-rule 2.4.e. would require further clarification – for example by stating that the SG will keep the register and that the Delegate should sign for the trophies.

Rule 2.5 (*Hosting Events*). Are there any specifications applicable to "temporary structures" and who will determine whether they provide sufficient shelter against the elements of nature, including sun and rain?

**Note: I suggest adding "such as canvas cover for shooting ranges".  
S.G.**

#### Chapter 3: Safety Regulations Applicable to all MLAIC Competitions

Rule 3.5 (*Percussion caps and priming powder*). It is suggested that the words "a nominal quantity" of caps would not have to be supplemented by the words "not exceeding 40 caps".

#### Chapter 4: Precision Events

See the comments on Rule 1.9.l., above.

Rule 4.2 (*Scoring*). It is suggested that the measured scores of all competitors be indicated and not only those of the winning scores.

**Note: The task of measuring the shots for the top six targets falls upon the Arbitration Committee whilst checking them, it would be impossible for them to do this for all the targets. Measurements are the means by which we resolve ties and are not for any other purpose.  
S.G.**

Rule 4.7.A. (General) has two additional elements. The first, sub-rule "d." is a repetition of Rule 3.2.b. Sub-rule "i." would, on the face of it, appear to be in conflict with sub-rule "e."

**Note: I suggest deleting 4.7. A. d.  
4.7. A. e. Refers to revolvers in revolver matches.  
4.7. A. i. Refers to revolvers in single shot matches i.e. Kuchenrueter.  
S.G.**

Rule 4.7.D.b.i), with reference to *Originals*, provides, *inter alia*, that ring type foresights are not permitted on English flintlock rifles. The same would also apply to *Replicas* – Rule 4.7.E.c. During the Delegates Meeting arguments will be advanced against this Rule, notably to the application thereof to *Replicas*.

**Note: Information from the Small Arms Committee indicates that ring type front sights were never used on English Flintlock Rifles. If the revision is adopted it should also apply to replicas.**  
**S.G.**

Accessories – slings: Rule 4.9.iv. is not clear.

**Note: This refers to inserting the arm into the sling and twisting it so that all the tension is imparted onto the forward fixing.**  
**S.G.**

Accessories – forbidden clothing: Rule 4.9.e.i.cc): it would be useful to discuss the full implication of this provision.

**Note: Rule 4.9.e.i.cc): Should read: If a competitor needs to wear such supports for medical reasons, his delegate must present medical evidence to the S.G. prior to the event. Wrist supports are not permitted for pistol events under any circumstances.**  
**S.G.**

ANNEX B

Fig 1 Proposed logo Hungary



Fig 2 Proposed logo Italy



Fig 3 Proposed by Argentina



Fig 4 Proposed logo Spain



1



2



3



4



5



6

7



8

